

FER-PLAY Seminar

CIRCULAR FERTILISERS FOR HEALTHY SOILS: DRIVERS AND CHALLENGES

18 Apr 2024

Elisa Gambuzzi, Ph.D. (CETENMA)











This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 101060426.



2



Our consortium





Mapping and scoring of 61 value chains





Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment (LCSA)







Methodology (14040, 14044) adaptations

> Distribution of impacts? Zero-burden assumption? Avoidance of impacts

Identification of hotspots (evaluation of impact per life cycle phase)



Recommendations Comparison against mineral fertilisers

D2.2



State of the play



Environmental – LCA

inventory ready!

fer play



Life Cycle Cost (LCC) inventory ready!



Social Social Acceptance Results partially available

> Social – LCA inventory ready!



Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment (LCSA) Comparison against mineral fertilisers

Fig. 4 Contributions from the material stage (including material extraction and manufacturing) and on-site construction to the sustainability performance of the residential building construction project



D2.2. Multiassessment of impacts, trade-offs and framework conditions



Dong, Y., & Ng, T. (2016). A modeling framework to evaluate sustainability of building

construction based on LCSA. The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 21, 555-568





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 101060426.

Preliminary insights on environmental, economic assessment

- Unique functional unit across products not useful
- Comparing fertilizers and soil amendments
- When 'waste' becomes the 'main product' a completely different approach is needed
- "Avoided impacts" are not useful when comparing one-to-one.
- Use phase uncertainties (to assess the application on soil, etc...)
- Effects on soil continue to be neglected.





Social assessment

Social acceptance What?

"to contribute to raising awareness among fertiliser Why? producers, end-users, and local administrations, overcoming their concerns and fostering the widespread uptake of circular fertilisers"

SURVEYS Fertiliser producers Local admin policy r

Social LCA

"to evaluate effects (positive or negative) that a product presents along its value chain from a social perspective"

S-LCA methodology developed ad-hoc



How?

9

End users (farmers)

Local admin policy makers



Social acceptance surveys

ferpay

Profile of surveyed farmers (360 respondents)



Used fertilisers





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 101060426.

Main challenges about social acceptance





Insights on social assessment

Production sustainability Environmental aspects Cost Nutrient content and composition Currently used machinery Ease of use / application

Form (e.g. solid, liquid)

fer



PREFERENCES FOR A CIRCULAR FERTILISER

Non important

Slightly non important

Moderately important

Slightly important

Very important



400

Social acceptance

Willingness to switch to CF





Social LCA







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 101060426.



Any Question?

Ask Eva Lopez or Alberto Confalonieri If you're a fertilizer producer, have your say in this **quick** survey







